

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,460	09/11/2003	Robert Boock	022956-0223	7148
21125 7590 01/16/2009 NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			EXAMINER	
WORLD TRA	DE CENTER WEST		HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001014111			3736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

## 10/661.460 Interview Summary Examiner

BOOCK ET AL. Art Unit

Applicant(s)

3736

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA.

(3)Lisa Adams.

JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA

Application No.

(2) Giordana Belenchia.

(4)\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: 1.31 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wulfman et al. (US 2002/0007190 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner discussed the outstanding Final Rejection mailed 11/20/2008. The Examiner's interpretation of the prior art was discussed. Possible claim amendments to overcome the applied prior art were proposed. Upon cursory review, the proposed amendments appear to overcome the applied prior art. However, the claims will be reviewed for patentability upon formal entry into the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736